EXHIBIT A

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

Case 2:22-cv-02981 CFSIL December 1 SHF 1907/29/22 Page 1 of 19

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	DEFENDAN'	re	
Troy Simmons			Kimberly Webb, et al.,		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia Coun (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				cace of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THAT OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Simon & Simon, PC 18 Campus Blvd., Si Newtown Square, PA		1	Attorneys (If Know	vn)	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No.	ot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases On Citizen of This State	PTF DEF I I Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	
U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship)	of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Soreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				Click here for: Nature of S	
CONTRACT	TOR		FORFEITURE/PENALT		OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability × 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -	of Property 21 USC 88 690 Other Y LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Applica	28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	noved from 3 R	Conditions of Confinement emanded from ppellate Court	Reopened Ano	asferred from 6 Multidistrather District Litigation	- Litigation -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	29 11 5 C 5 4204(a) (4)	and (2)	(spe		Direct File
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions):	TUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	·
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO			
07/29/2022		Marc	Simon		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AOI INT	A DDT STATE STOR			
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	NJE .

Case 2:22-cv-02981-tinised@occurs@nstricfiledural/29/22 Page 2 of 19 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

	to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assi nmons - 1950 S Ithan St Phil	11 1			
Address of Plaintiff: Troy Simmons - 1950 S Ithan St., Philadelphia, PA 19143					
Address of Defendant: Kimbelrly Webb&dba Kims Plumbing-207 Maple St, Clayton, NJ 08312, Home Depot-2455 Paces Ferry Rd, NW, Atlanta, GA 30339					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Intersection of Hazel Ave. & S 46th St., in Philadelphia, PA					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered	to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or a pending or within one year previously terminated	grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit d action in this court?	Yes No 🗾			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringeme numbered case pending or within one year previous	ent of a patent already in suit or any earlier ously terminated action of this court?	Yes No 🗸			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus case filed by the same individual?	103 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case \Box i this court except as noted above.	is / • is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in			
DATE: 07/29/2022	Marc Simon	201798			
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)					
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction (Cases:			
A. Federal Question Cases:	All Other Contracts	ract and Other Contracts			
A. Federal Question Cases:	All Other Contracts	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury			
A. Federal Question Cases:	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam. 4. Marine Persona	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury			
A. Federal Question Cases:	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam. 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle 1	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury			
A. Federal Question Cases:	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam. 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle 1 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify):			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam. 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle 1 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): lity Asbestos			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam. 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle 1 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): lity Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam. 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle 1 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): lity Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam. 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle 1 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): lity Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam. 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle 1 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of Marc. Simon	All Other Contracts 1.	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of Marc Simon, co	All Other Contracts 1.	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of Marc Simon Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2)	All Other Contracts 1.	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of the Contract of the C	All Other Contracts 1.	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			

Case 2:22-cv-02981-TJS Document 1 Filed 07/29/22 Page 3 of 19

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Troy Simmons

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			
215-467-4666	267-639-9006	MarcSimon@gosimon.co	m_		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for			
07/29/2022	Marc Simon	Troy Simmons			
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)		
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into track complex and that need specia de of this form for a detailed	l or intense management by	()		
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury	or property damage from	()		
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	red to be designated for arbita	ration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()		
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:			
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant so the plaintiff and all other part	se Management Track Design a copy on all defendants. (Se went that a defendant does no hall, with its first appearance,	Reduction Plan of this court, counse ation Form in all civil cases at the time § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revot agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and serveck Designation Form specifying the ted.	ne of verse said re on		
Kimberly Webb, et al.,	:	NO.			
V.	: :				
Troy Simmons	:	CIVIL ACTION			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Troy Simmons	:
1950 S Ithan St.	;
Philadelphia, PA 19143	: #_
Plaintiff	:
v.	:
	:
Kimberly Webb	:
207 Maple St.	:
Clayton, NJ 08312	:
And	:
Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing	:
207 Maple St.	:
Clayton, NJ 08312	:
And	:
Home Depot USA, Inc.	:
2455 Paces Ferry Rd., N.W.	:
Atlanta, GA 30339	:
Defendant(s)	•

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Kimberly Webb, is a resident of the State of New Jersey, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing, is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the State of Georgia, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 4. Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the State of Georgia, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this Civil Action-Complaint in that the Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, is a citizen of Pennsylvania and the Defendants, Kimberly Webb, is a citizen of New Jersey, and the Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Pumbing, upon information and belief is a corporate entity with its principal place of business in New Jersey, and the Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., upon information and belief is a corporate entity with its principal place of business in Gorgia and the amount in controversy in this case, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.
- 6. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) (1) and (2) in that this is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted in this Complaint occurred in this judicial district.

FACTS

- 7. On or about August 26, 2021, at or about 10:00 a.m, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, was standing outside his vehicle, which was parked at/near the intersection of Hazel Ave. & S 46th St., in Philadelphia, PA.
- 8. At or about the same date and time, Defendant, Kimberly Webb, was the operator of a motor vehicle, owned by Defendants, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing and Home Depot USA, Inc., which was traveling at or around the aforementioned location of the Plaintiff.
- 9. At or about the same date and time, Defendants' vehicle was involved in a collision with the Plaintiff.
- 10. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, Kimberly Webb, was operating the aforesaid Defendants, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing and Home Depot USA, Inc.'s vehicle as an agent, servant and/or employee, acting within the scope of it's agency.
- 11. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was the result of Defendant, negligently, and/or carelessly, operating his/her vehicle in such a manner so as to strike the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, pinning the Plaintiff between the vehicles.
- 12. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was a direct result of the negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendants and not the result of any action or failure to act by the Plaintiff.
- 13. As a result of the collision, Plaintiff suffered severe and permanent injuries, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, as are more fully set forth below.

COUNT I Troy Simmons v. Kimberly Webb Negligence

14. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.

- 15. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the direct cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, pinning the plaintiff between the two vehicles;
 - b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
 - c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
 - d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to strike the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
 - e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
 - f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
 - g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
 - h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
 - Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle;
 - j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
 - k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
 - Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
 - m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;

- r. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to exercise ordinary care to avoid a collision;
- q. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- r. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- s. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- t. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- u. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.
- 16. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

- 17. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 18. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant,
 Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 19. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 20. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant,
 Plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which
 Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not
 limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 21. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff,
 Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an
 amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the
 Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as
 amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, prays for judgment in plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Kimberly Webb, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT II
Troy Simmons v. Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing
Negligent Entrustment

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 23. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Permitting Defendant, Kimberly Webb, to operate the motor vehicle without first ascertaining whether or not he was capable of properly operating said vehicle;
 - b. Permitting Defendant, Kimberly Webb, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that Defendant, Kimberly Webb, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above:
 - c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing, knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to Defendant, Kimberly Webb's negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and
 - d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said individual Defendant,
 Kimberly Webb.
- 24. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

- 25. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 26. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 28. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff,
 Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an
 amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the
 Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as
 amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT III Troy Simmons v. Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing Respondeat Superior

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 30. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing, itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, Defendant,

Kimberly Webb, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:

- a. Striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, pinning the Plaintiff between the two vehicles;
- b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
- d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to strike the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
- e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
- f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
- h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- i. Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;

- r. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- o. Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- q. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- r. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- s. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- t. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.
- 31. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 32. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently

and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

- 33. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 34. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 35. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 36. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Kimberly Webb d/b/a Kim's Plumbing, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT IV Troy Simmons v. Home Depot USA, Inc. Negligent Entrustment

37. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.

- 38. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Permitting Defendant, Kimberly Webb, to operate the motor vehicle without first ascertaining whether or not he was capable of properly operating said vehicle;
 - b. Permitting Defendant, Kimberly Webb, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that Defendant, Kimberly Webb, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above;
 - c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to Defendant, Kimberly Webb's negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and
 - d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said individual Defendant,
 Kimberly Webb.
- 39. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 40. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently

and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

- 41. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant,
 Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 42. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 43. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff,
 Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an
 amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the
 Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as
 amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT V Troy Simmons v. Home Depot USA, Inc. Respondent Superior

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 45. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, Defendant, Kimberly Webb, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate

cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:

- a. Striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, pinning the plaintiff between the two vehicles;
- b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
- d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to strike the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
- e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
- f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
- h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the vehicle next to the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;
- r. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;

- Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- q. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- r. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- s. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- t. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.
- 46. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the lower back, both hips and left knee, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 47. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

Case 2:23-cv-03061-TJS Document 1-1 Filed 08/09/23 Page 20 of 20 Case 2:22-cv-02981-TJS Document 1 Filed 07/29/22 Page 19 of 19

48. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant,

Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

49. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and

may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's

further loss and detriment.

50. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant,

plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which

Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not

limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

51. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff,

Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an

amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the

Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as

amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Troy Simmons, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and

against Defendant, Home Depot USA, Inc., in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand

(\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

SIMON & SIMON, P.C.

Marc Simon

Marc Simon, Esquire